BEFORE THE STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

MARC DOUGLAS DEA, OD 15600 Washington Ave. San Lorenzo, CA 94580 Optometrist License No. 11124

Respondent.

Case No. CC-2008-213

OAH No. 2010070970

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the State Board of Optometry, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on February 17, 2011

It is so ORDERED ______

FOR THE STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
2			
3	CHAR SACHSON	•	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 161032 455 Golden Gate Ave.		
5	11th Floor Telephone: (415)703-5558		
6	Facsimile: (415)310-7261 Attorneys for Complainant		
7		ORE THE	
8	STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9	STATE OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. CC-2008-213	
11	MARC DOUGLAS DEA, OD	OAH No. 2010070970	
12	15600 Washington Ave. San Lorenzo, CA 94580	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
13	Optometrist License No. 11124	DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
14	Respondent.		
15	respondent.		
16			
17	In the interest of a prompt and speedy set	lement of this matter, consistent with the public	
18	interest and the responsibility of the State Board of Optometry of the Department of Consumer		
19	Affairs, the parties hereby agree to the following Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order		
20	which will be submitted to the Board for approval and adoption as the final disposition of the		
21	Accusation.		
22	<u>PA</u>	RTIES	
23	1. Mona Maggio (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the State Board of		
24	Optometry. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matte		
25	by Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, by Char Sachson, Deputy		
26	Attorney General.		
27			

- Respondent Marc Douglas Dea, OD (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Daniel J. Meagher, Esq., whose address is: 1 Sansome Street, Suite 1400
 San Francisco, CA 94104
- 3. On or about July 28, 1999, the State Board of Optometry issued Optometrist License No. 11124 to Marc Douglas Dea, OD (Respondent). The Optometrist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. CC-2008-213 and will expire on August 31, 2011, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. CC-2008-213 was filed before the State Board of Optometry (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on May 5, 2010. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. CC-2008-213 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. CC-2008-213. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. CC-2008-213, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Optometrist License.
- 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his Optometrist License is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

11. The admissions made by Respondent are only for the purposes of this proceeding or any other proceeding in which the State Board of Optometry, or any other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CIRCUMSTANCES IN MITIGATION

12. Respondent Marc Douglas Dea, OD has never been the subject of any disciplinary action. He is agreeing to resolve the matter at an early stage in the proceedings.

CONTINGENCY

13. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the State Board of Optometry. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the State Board of Optometry may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 14. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 15. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Optometrist License No. 11124 issued to Respondent Marc Douglas Dea, OD (Respondent) is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions.

- 1. **Obey All Laws.** Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, and all rules governing the practice of optometry in California.
- 2. Cooperate with Probation Surveillance. Respondent shall comply with the board's probation surveillance program; including but not limited to allowing access to the probationer's optometric practice(s) and patient records upon request of the board or its agent.
- 3. Tolling of Probation If Respondent Moves Out-of-State. The period of probation shall not run during the time Respondent is residing or practicing outside the jurisdiction of California. If, during probation, Respondent moves out of the jurisdiction of California to reside or practice elsewhere, Respondent is required to immediately notify the board in writing of the date of departure, and the date of return, if any.
- 4. **Completion of Probation.** Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate will be fully restored.

- 5. **Violation of Probation.** If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving Respondent notice and opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against Respondent during probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 6. **Education Course.** Within 90 days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall submit to the board for its prior approval an educational program or course to be designated by the board, in the areas of practice management, retinal disease and evaluation of retinal disease. The education program shall consist of a minimum of four (4) hours for each practice area. This program shall be in addition to the Continuing Optometric Education requirements for re-licensure, and shall be obtained with all costs being paid by Respondent. Following the completion of each course, the board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide written proof of attendance in such course or courses as are approved by the board.
- 7. **Reexamination.** Within 60 days of the effective date of this decision, or within some other time as prescribed in writing by the board, Respondent shall take and the California Laws and Regulations Examination. If Respondent fails this examination, Respondent must take and pass a re-examination as approved by the board. The waiting period between repeat examinations shall be at six month intervals until success is achieved. The Respondent shall pay the cost of any such examination.
- 8. Costs. Respondent shall pay to the Board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case. That sum shall be \$5042.00 and shall be paid in full directly to the Board, in a lump sum, due no later than 90 days from the effective date of the Decision. Cost recovery will not be tolled.

If Respondent is unable to submit costs timely, he shall be required instead to submit an explanation of why he is unable to submit these costs in part or in entirety, and the date(s) he will be able to submit the costs, including payment amount(s). Supporting documentation and

evidence of why the Respondent is unable to make such payment(s) must accompany this submission.

Respondent understands that failure to submit costs timely is a violation of probation and submission of evidence demonstrating financial hardship does not preclude the Board from pursuing further disciplinary action. However, Respondent understands that providing evidence and supporting documentation of financial hardship may delay further disciplinary action.

Consideration to financial hardship will not be given should Respondent violate this term and condition, unless an unexpected AND unavoidable hardship is established from the date of this order to the date payment(s) is due. The filing of bankruptcy by the Respondent shall not relieve the Respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Board for these costs.

9. **Monitoring**. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall submit to the board for its prior approval a plan of practice in which Respondent's practice shall be monitored by another optometrist, who shall provide periodic reports to the board. Any cost for such monitoring shall be paid by Respondent. If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within 15 days, move to have a new monitor appointed, through nomination by Respondent and approval by the Board.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Daniel J. Meagher, Esq.. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Optometrist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order

/// ///

]	of the State Board of Optometry.	
2		
3	DATED: 1022 10.	
4	MARC DOUGLAS DEA, OD Respondent	
5	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Marc Douglas Dea, OD the terms and	
6	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order	
7		
8	DATED: 10-22-10 Lan	
9	Daniel J. Meagher, Esq. Attorney for Respondent	
10		
11	ENDORSEMENT	
12	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully	
13	submitted for consideration by the State Board of Optometry of the Department of Consumer	
14	Affairs.	
15		
16	Dated: 18 Tyllo Respectfully Submitted,	
17	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of College	
18	Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE	
19	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
20	Mat	
21	CHAR SACHSON Deputy Attorney General	
22	Attorneys for Complainant	
23		
24	SF2010200370 Stipulation.rrf	
25		
26		
27		
28		

Exhibit A

Accusation No. CC-2008-213

	1	
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.	
2	Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CHAR SACHSON	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 161032	
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	
	Telephone: (415) 703-5558	
6	Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 Attorneys for Complainant	
7	BEFOR	
8	STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
9	STATE OF C	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. CC-2008-213
12	MARC DOUGLAS DEA, OD	ACCUSATION
13	15600 Washington Ave. San Lorenzo, CA 94580	
14	Optometrist License No. 11124	
15	Respondent.	•
16		
17	1	
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	PAR'	ΓΙΕS
20	1. Mona Maggio (Complainant) brings t	his Accusation solely in her official capacity as
21	the Executive Officer of the State Board of Optor	
22		Board of Optometry issued Optometrist License
		• • •
23	Number 11124 to Marc Douglas Dea, OD (Respo	,
24	force and effect at all times relevant to the charge	s brought herein and will expire on August 31,
25	2011, unless renewed.	
26	111	
27	111	
28	111	

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the State Board of Optometry (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 3110 of the Code states:

"The board may take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct, and may deny an application for a license if the applicant has committed unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter or any of the rules and regulations adopted by the board pursuant to this chapter.
 - "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions.
 - "(d) Incompetence.
- "(q) The failure to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to his or her patients.
- "(y) Failure to refer a patient to an appropriate physician in either of the following circumstances:
- "(1) Where an examination of the eyes indicates a substantial likelihood of any pathology that requires the attention of that physician.
 - "(2) As required by subdivision (c) of Section 3041."
- 5. Section 3041.1 of the Code states: "With respect to the practices set forth in subdivisions (b), (d), and (e) of Section 3041, optometrists diagnosing or treating eye disease

shall be held to the same standard of care to which physicians and surgeons and osteopathic physicians and surgeons are held."

- 6. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 7. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 8. On or about November 12, 2008, Patient J.K. 1 presented to Respondent reporting blurring, flashes and distortion in his right eye, possibly due to a sports injury where he was hit on the side of his face. Respondent dilated J.K. 's right eye, and concluded that J.K. was suffering from mild inflammation of the right eye, with no signs or symptoms of retinal detachment. Respondent reached a differential diagnosis of superficial inflammation and central serous retinopathy² (C.S.R.). Respondent advised J.K. to return to his office in one week for a re-check.
- 9. J.K. returned to Respondent's office on November 19, 2008. J.K. reported increased stress, and that his eye had not improved or gotten worse. Respondent again diagnosed C.S.R. and advised J.K. to return for a re-check in two months.
- 10. On January 14, 2009, J.K. returned to Respondent's office and reported a worsening of the right eye. Respondent referred J.K. to an ophthalmologist. On January 19, 2009, J.K.'s ophthalmologist diagnosed chronic retinal detachment³ of the right eye with subretinal fluid. On

¹ Initials are used herein to protect the patient's privacy. The patient's identity will be provided pursuant to a proper request for discovery.

² Central serous retinopathy is a condition in which fluid builds up under the retina. It usually occurs in young males, and typically resolves on its own in several months.

³ Retinal detachment is a medical emergency that happens when a break occurs in the neurosensory retina and allows fluid from the vitreous cavity to separate the neurosensory retina from underlying retinal pigment epithelium. Diagnosis and treatment of retinal detachments are time-sensitive. A delay in diagnosis and treatment typically results in a poorer visual outcome.

1	January 26, 2009, J.K. underwent surgery to repair the retinal detachment. J.K. suffered a	
2	profound loss of vision in his right eye.	
3		
4	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
5.	(GROSS NEGLIGENCE)	
6	11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 3110(b) and 3041.1 in that	
7	he was grossly negligent on November 12, 2008, when he relied upon an incorrect and	
8	presumptive diagnosis of C.S.R. and failed to refer J.K. to an ophthalmologist.	
9	12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 3110(b) and 3041.1 in that	
10	he was grossly negligent on November 19, 2008, when he relied upon an incorrect and	
1	presumptive diagnosis of C.S.R. and failed to refer J.K. to an ophthalmologist.	
12		
13	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
۱4	(INCOMPETENCE)	
15	13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 3110(d) and 3041.1 in that	
16	he was incompetent on November 12, 2008, when he relied upon an incorrect and presumptive	
17	diagnosis of C.S.R. and failed to refer J.K. to an ophthalmologist.	
18	14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 3110(d) and 3041.1 in that	
ا 9	he was incompetent on November 19, 2008, when he relied upon an incorrect and presumptive	
20	diagnosis of C.S.R. and failed to refer J.K. to an ophthalmologist.	
21		
22	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
23	(FAILURE TO REFER TO APPROPRIATE PHYSICIAN)	
24	15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 3110(y) and 3041.1 in that	
25	he failed to refer J.K. to an appropriate physician on November 12, 2008, when he relied upon an	
26	incorrect and presumptive diagnosis of C.S.R. and failed to refer J.K. to an ophthalmologist.	
27		

. 28

1	16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 3110(y) and 3041.1 in that		
. 2	he failed to refer J.K. to an appropriate physician on November 19, 2008, when he relied upon an		
3	incorrect and presumptive diagnosis of C.S.R. and failed to refer J.K. to an ophthalmologist.		
4			
5	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
6	(FAILURE TO MAINTAIN ADEQUATE RECORDS)		
7	17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 3110(q) in that he failed to		
8	adequately document positive findings and clinical observations using descriptive terms that		
9	describe location, size, quality, color, severity and other pertinent physical attributes.		
10			
11	PRAYER		
12	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,		
13	and that following the hearing, the State Board of Optometry issue a decision:		
14	1. Revoking or suspending Optometrist License Number 11124, issued to Marc Douglas		
15	Dea, OD.		
16	2. Ordering Marc Douglas Dea to pay the State Board of Optometry the reasonable costs		
17	of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code		
18	section 125.3.		
19	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
20			
21			
22	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$		
23	DATED: April 28, 2010 MONA MAGGIO		
24	Executive Officer State Board of Optometry		
25	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California		
26	Complainant		
27	SF2010200370		

28